

Extrapolation of Charge Density: Ver. 1.0

Taisuke Ozaki, RCIS, JAIST

August 16, 2007

Let us consider an extrapolation $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}$ of the coordinate at the $(n+1)$ th molecular dynamic or geometry optimization step [1, 2] by a linear combination of the previous coordinates $\{\mathbf{x}_m\}$ as

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} = \sum_{m=n-(p-1)}^n \alpha_m \mathbf{x}_m, \quad (1)$$

where for p three is an optimum choice in many cases. To fit well the coordinate $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1}$ to the real coordinate \mathbf{x}_{n+1} , we consider the minimization of a function F :

$$F = |\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_{n+1}|^2 - \lambda \left(1 - \sum_{m=n-(p-1)}^n \alpha_m \right) \quad (2)$$

with respect to $\{\alpha_i\}$ and λ . The conditions $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \alpha_m} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda} = 0$ leads to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{x}_{(n-(p-1))} | \mathbf{x}_{(n-(p-1))} \rangle & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{x}_n | \mathbf{x}_n \rangle & \cdots \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{(n-(p-1))} \\ \alpha_{(n-(p-1)+1)} \\ \cdot \\ \frac{1}{2} \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdot \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

By solving the linear equation, we may have an optimum choice of a set of $\{\alpha_m\}$. Then, it is assumed that the difference charge density $\Delta\rho_i^{(\text{out})}$ can be extrapolated well by the same set of coefficients $\{\alpha_m\}$ as

$$\rho_{n+1}^{(\text{in})} = \rho_{n+1}^{(\text{atom})} + \sum_{m=n-(p-1)}^n \alpha_m \Delta\rho_m^{(\text{out})}, \quad (4)$$

where $\rho_{n+1}^{(\text{atom})}$ is given by the superposition of atomic charge densities at \mathbf{x}_{n+1} . Using Eq. (4) it can be possible to estimate a good input charge density at the $(n+1)$ th step in molecular dynamic simulations or geometry optimizations.

References

- [1] T. A. Arias, M. C. Payne, and J. D. Joannopoulos, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 1538 (1992).
- [2] D. Alfe, Comp. Phys. Commun. **118**, 32 (1999).